Proceedings of the
International Conference on Mechanical Engineering 2011
(ICME2011) 18-20 December 2011, Dhaka, Bangladesh

ICME 11-RT-036

PD CONTROLLER FOR BALANCING AN INVERTED PENDULUM CART

Mahadi Hasan [1], Mongkol Ekpanyapong[2], Md. Abdul Wakil [3], Md. Ashraful Islam [3] Abhisesh Silwal [1] and Jakkit Sivapornsatian [1]

[1]Master Student, Industrial System Engineering, School of Engineering and Technology, AIT, Thailand,
[2]Assistant professor, Industrial System Engineering, School of Engineering and Technology, AIT, Thailand
[3]Assistant professor, Department of ME, KUET, Khulnla, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

In the arena of Control theory and Engineering, balancing of an inverted pendulum by moving a carrier (cart) along a horizontal track, is a classical problem for the commencers to analyze its dynamics as it continually moves toward an unstable state. Numerous physical models resembling to the same include Flight Simulation of rocket or missile during the initial stages of flight, Simulation of dynamics of a robotic arm, Model of a human standing still etc. Many researches concentrating on this field have been using different control algorithms and design techniques from PID controller, state space, neural network, genetic algorithm (GA) to particle swam optimization (PSO), in both digital and analog domain using various sensors. However, this can also be performed using a single potentiometer as a sensor and PD controller as the design algorithm. The difference between the reference (zero voltage) and potentiometer (voltage difference due to change in resistance) generates control signal to drive the system. Here, in this work, it consists of a thin vertical rod attached at the bottom (pivot point), mounted on a mobile toy car. The car, depending upon the direction of the deflection of the pendulum moves horizontally in order to bring the pendulum to absolute rest. The main idea behind this control process was the use of PD (Proportional and Derivative) controller to generate signal to control the speed and direction of the motor. The only sensor used in this project was a potentiometer. It was attached to the pendulum rod and the variation in its resistance caused change in voltage across it which was compared with the reference voltage (zero) to generate the appropriate control signal. PROTIUS software was used for circuit simulation, frequency responses of the system were analyzed in MATLAB with different values of gains, K_P and K_D, and finally the Root Locus diagram showing the system stability was drawn in MATLAB..

Keywords: Template, Typing Instruction, Double Column.

1. INTRODUCTION

The balancing of an inverted pendulum by moving a cart along a horizontal track is a classic problem in the area of control. The first solution to this problem was described by Roberge [1] in his aptly named thesis, “The Mechanical Seal." Subsequently, it has been used in many books, papers, and researchers [2] as an example for the investigation of automatic control techniques, most of them have been used as a linearization theory in their control schemes. Since the system is inherently nonlinear, it has been using extensively by the control engineers to verify a modern control theory and is also useful in illustrating some of the ideas in nonlinear control domain. In this system, an inverted pendulum is attached to a cart equipped with a motor that drives it along a horizontal track. The thin vertical rod (the pendulum) hinged at the bottom, referred to as pivot point, mounted on a mobile toy car which can move along horizontal direction. The car, depending upon the direction of the deflection of the pendulum (the angle of the inverted pendulum, θ), moves horizontally in order to bring the pendulum to absolute rest. A PD (Proportional and Derivative) controller has been used to generate signal to control the speed and direction of the motor. The only sensor used in this work was a potentiometer (pot), attached to the nadir of the pendulum rod and variation in its resistance causes change in voltage which is then compared with the reference voltage to generate the appropriate control signal. The Mathematical expression was established to find the system transfer function based on Newton’s second law of motion. Simulation of the circuit mechanism was obtained by applying PROTIUS software. MATLAB simulink has been used for closed loop transfer function simulation with different values of K_P (proportional gain) and K_D (Differential gain) to generate output responses of the system. And finally root locus diagram of the system was drawn in MATLAB to show the system stability.

2. SYSTEM DYNAMICS

The system transfer function is derived in this section. The system consists of an inverted pole hinged on a cart which is free to move in the *x* direction as shown in Fig. 1. In order to obtain the system dynamics, the following assumptions have been made:

1. The system starts in an equilibrium state i.e. that the initial conditions are assumed to be zero.

2. The pendulum does not move more than a few degrees away from the vertical to satisfy a linear model.

3. A step input (displacement of the pendulum, θ) is applied to the system.



Fig. 1. Cart and Inverted Pendulum System

Table 1: Parameters of the inverted pendulum

M	mass of the cart	0.3 kg
m	mass of the pendulum	0.2 kg
b	friction of the cart	0.1 N/m/sec
l	length of the pendulum	0.2 m
i	inertia of the pendulum	0.006 kg.m^2
f	force applied to the cart	kg.m/s^2
g	gravity	9.8 m/s^2
θ	Vertical pendulum angle	in degree

For the analysis of system dynamic equations, Newton's second law of motion was applied. Fig. 2 represents the Free Body Diagram (FBD) of the mechanism.

From the FBD, summing the forces of the cart along horizontal direction, following equation of motion was obtained:

$$M\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} + N = F \quad (1)$$

Summing the forces along the horizontal direction as shown in the FBD, following equation for N was obtained:

$$N = m\ddot{x} + ml\ddot{\theta}\cos\theta - ml\dot{\theta}^2\sin\theta \quad (2)$$



Fig. 2. Free body diagram of the inverted pendulum

After substituting eqn. 2 into eqn. 1, the first equation of motion for the system was found as follows:

$$(M+m)\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} + ml\ddot{\theta}\cos\theta - ml\dot{\theta}^2\sin\theta = F \quad (3)$$

To acquire the second equation of motion, the forces along the perpendicular direction of the pendulum was summed up and found the following equation:

$$P\sin\theta + N\cos\theta - mg\sin\theta = ml\ddot{\theta} + m\ddot{x}\cos\theta \quad (4)$$

To get rid of P and N terms from the eqn. 4, the moments around the centroid of the pendulum was taken which resulted following equation:

$$-Pl\sin\theta - Nl\cos\theta = l\dot{\theta} \quad (5)$$

Combining eqn. 4 and 5, the second dynamic equation was obtained as follows:

$$(I+ml^2)\ddot{\theta} + mgl\sin\theta = -ml\ddot{x}\cos\theta \quad (6)$$

From eqn. 3 and 6, two linear equations of the transfer function were found, where θ = π. Assume that, θ = π + ø (ø represents a small angle from the vertical upward direction).

Therefore, $\cos\theta = -1, \sin\theta = -\phi$ and $\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} = 0$.

Thus, after linearization the following two equations of motion were appeared (where u represents the input):

$$(I+ml^2)\ddot{\phi} - mgl\phi = ml\ddot{x} \quad (7)$$

$$(M+m)\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} - ml\ddot{\phi} = u \quad (8)$$

To obtain the transfer function of the system analytically, the Laplace transforms of the system equations were taken:

$$(I+ml^2)\Phi(s)s^2 - mgl\Phi(s) = mlX(s)s^2 \quad (9)$$

$$(M+m)X(s)s^2 + bX(s)s - ml\Phi(s)s^2 = U(s)$$
(10)

The above two transfer functions can be contracted into a single transfer function as shown below:

$$\frac{\Phi(s)}{U(s)}=\frac{\frac{ml}{q}s}{s^3+\frac{b(I+ml^2)}{q}s^2-\frac{(M+m)mgl}{q}s-\frac{bmgl}{q}}$$

Where, $q=[(M+m)(I+ml^2)-(ml)^2]$

$= [(0.3+0.2)\ (0.006+0.2*0.2^2) - (0.2*0.2)^2]$

$= 5.4\times10^{-3}$

$$\frac{\Phi(s)}{U(s)}=\frac{\frac{.2\times.2}{q}s}{s^3+\frac{.1(.006+.2\times.2^2)}{q}s^2-\frac{(.3+.2).2\times9.8\times.2}{q}s-\frac{.1\times.2\times9.8\times.2}{q}}$$

$$\frac{\Phi(s)}{U(s)}=\frac{7.407s}{s^3+0.26s^2-36.296s-7.26}$$

3. CONTROLLER DESIGN AND SIMULATION

The Proportional Derivative (PD) is a type of feedback controller whose output, a Control Variable (CV), is generally based on the error (e) between some user-defined Reference Point (RP) and some measured Process Variable (PV). Based on the error each element of the PD controller performs a particular action.

Proportional (K_p): Hence, error is multiplied by a gain K_p, an adjustable amplifier. In many systems, K_p is responsible for process stability: too low, and the PV will drift away; too high, the PV will oscillate [3].

Derivative (K_d): The rate of change of error multiplied by a gain, K_d. In many systems, K_d is responsible for system response: too high and the PV will oscillate; too low and the PV will respond sluggishly. The designer should also note that derivative action can amplify any noise in the error signal [3].

Tuning of a PD controller involves the adjustment of K_p, and K_d to achieve some user defined "optimal" character of system response.

In this problem, the main concern was to control the pendulum's position, which should return to its original position (vertical) after an initial disturbance, and therefore, the reference signal should be zero. The force applied to the cart was considered as an impulse disturbance. The basic structure of the feedback control system is shown below:



Fig 3. Control block diagram (close loop) of the inverted pendulum robot

MATLAB simulation: MATLAB Simulink was used for simulation of output responses of the inverted pendulum based on the equation and block diagram aforementioned. In Fig. 4, the block diagram of the simulink is shown below:



Fig 4. Block diagram in MATLAB Simulink

The system was examined for different values of K_p and K_d. For instance, three critical combinations of K_p and K_d (Table 2) are presented to understand the entire trend of system stability.

Table 2: Combinations of K_p and K_d

Gain \ Case	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
K_p	1	1	10
K_d	1	50	50

Case 1: In this case, the values of both K_p and K_d are taken 1. Fig. 5 shows the corresponding MATLAB codes. As can be seen in Fig. 6, the system was unstable as well as the response time was also pretty high (t>8 sec.).

Case 2: In case 2, the value of K_p was increased to 50 with keeping the value of K_d unchanged. The output response (Fig. 7) was found stable with some initial oscillations.

Case 3: For case 3, the value of Kp and Kd were considered 10 and 50 respectively. The output response (Fig. 8) was found quite stable and the response time was very low (t<1 sec.)

```
M = 0.3;
m = 0.2;
b = 0.1;
i = 0.006;
g = 9.8;
l = 0.3;
q = (M+m)*(i+m*l^2)-(m*l)^2;
Kd = 1; Kp = 1; Ki = 0;
[t1,x1,y1] =
sim('Inverted_Pendulum_Miniproject',10);
plot(t1,y1)
grid
xlabel('Kd=1,Kp=1')
ylabel('Output (Theta)')
```

Fig. 5. MATLAB codes for case 1



Fig 6. Response curve for case 1



Fig. 7. Response curve for case 2



Fig 8. Response curve for case 3

4. CIRCUIT ANALYSIS AND SIMULATION

Circuit analysis: The rotational direction of the DC servo motor depends on the flow of current to control the pendulum by moving cart backward or forward. The current controlling part of the circuit is analyzed in this section.



Fig 9. Direction of current flow of the motor driving circuit

According to Fig. 9, Q_1 and Q_2 are NPN and PNP transistors respectively. When there is a positive voltage at the base of Q_1 and Q_2, the transistor Q_1 goes into forward active region and Q_2 gets cutoff. Thus, the current flows from $+V_{CC}$ to ground through motor. Similarly, when there is a negative voltage at the base, Q_2 goes into forward active region and Q_1 gets cutoff. Here, current flows from ground to $-V_{CC}$. Table 3 summarizes the function of Q_1, Q_2, D_1 and D_2 to represent the forward-reverse direction of motor.

Table 3: Function of Q_1, Q_2, D_1 and D_2

Voltage	Component				
	Q_1	Q_2	D_1	D_2	Motor direction
Positive	ON	OFF	OFF	OFF	Forward
Negative	OFF	ON	OFF	OFF	Reverse
Zero	OFF	OFF	OFF	OFF	----------

Darlington transistor was selected in order to drive more current since β value of this transistor is equal to product of β value of two transistors as shown below:

Here, $\beta_{new} = \beta_1 \times \beta_2 \rightarrow I_C = I_D \times \beta_{new.}$

Therefore, DC motor rotated faster due to the higher new collector current. As the Darlington transistors get heated faster, a heat sink was connected.



Fig 10. NPN and PNP Darlington transistor



Fig 11. Snap shot of simulation in PROTEUS

Simulation of circuit: Rather than approaching directly to the circuit fabrication, a simulation was conducted first for successful completion of the project.

PROTEUS software was used for the circuit simulation which helped to visualize the operation of the circuit before building it physically. Different combination of the circuit components such as transistors, operational amplifiers, resistors, DC motor and so on were attempted in PROTEUS to analyze and decide which combination of components results better performance. It enabled to visualize the speed and direction of the DC motor along with appropriate design of the driving circuit. It also facilitated to observe voltage and current at any point of the circuit by using the tools available in PROTEUS (Fig. 11).

According to Fig. 11when the variable resistor RV2 (pendulum) was moved upward, the DC motor rotated in clockwise direction. On the other hand, the motor rotated in counter clockwise direction while moved downward. The flow of current and rotation of motor were also visible in active simulation. This simulation ensured appropriate functioning of the circuit.

5. FABRICATION

Initially in *'breadboard'* as it's easy to build and test, then in *'matrix board'* and finally, self-made *'PCB'* was used to fabricate the circuit which was driven by an external power supply. The complete structure of the 'Inverted pendulum robot' is shown in Fig. 12.

Rotary type potentiometer was used to sense (position sensor) the angle of the pendulum. The circuit was operated by two separate power supply, viz. ± 12V for the Op-amp circuit and ± 17V for the motor driving circuit.



Fig 12. Inverted pendulum robot

PCB: Printed Circuit Board (PCB), on which the circuit was built, has been designed using PROTEUS software and then fabricated as shown in Fig. 13. Unlike matrix board, PCB facilitated to build the circuit more professionally with minimum risk of short-circuits during soldering, de-soldering, testing and so on.



(a) Design view (b) Fabricated view

Fig 13. Image of PCB

6. RESULTS AND VERIFICATION

Results: Following observations were notified after completion of the experiment:

- The robot was able to balance the pendulum accurately in both directions. However, the performance was more accurate in forward direction as compared to backward due to improper mass balance.

- For small degree of disturbance, the robot was adequately capable to balance the pendulum.

- For larger disturbance, the robot was observed to keep running for reaching balanced position.

Verification: The study was verified through *'root locus'* diagram drawn in MATLAB. Fig. 14 shows root locus diagram of the inverted pendulum robot. As is observed in the figure, there was a pole on the right hand side of the graph indicating that the system was absolutely unstable for the low value of gain. In case of increasing the magnitude of gain, the pole began to shift towards left direction, referring that the system was going to be stable.



Fig 14. Root Locus Diagram of the system

With the sufficient amount of enhancement of gain, the system at last approached towards a fully stable state.

The corresponding other parameters are also mentioned in the upper right quadrant of the graph.

7. PROBLEMS FACED AND SOLUTIONS

Table 4 Problem encountered and solutions

Problems	Reasons	Solutions
Inaccurate reference comparison	Friction on potentiometer	Lubricant was used to make pot frictionless
Electrical limitations		
Low speed of motor	Low value of beta in power transistors	Darlington transistor was used
Heating of transistor	As the collector -emitter voltage was high the collector current draws very high and more heat was dissipated by the transistor	Heat sink was used to lower the temperature of power transistor
Mechanical limitations		
Vibration of the pendulum	Weak joint between pendulum and the pot	Better material was used to strengthen the mechanism
Slip of wheels	Low quality of wheels	Better quality of wheels were tried

8. CONCLUSIONS

The swinging inverted pendulum robot was successfully balanced along to and fro horizontal direction using a simple PD controller. The frequency responses of the system for different proportional and derivative gains were shown. Root locus diagram was drawn to verify the system stability. However, this technique has some limitations, in terms of Mechanical and Electrical point of view such as vibrations, slip of wheels, insufficient current, and heating of transistor. Use of accurate and multiple sensors can increase the accuracy and robustness of the system. Several future enhancements can be drawn by adopting more sensors like encoder, tilt sensor, accelerometer and so on. In addition, different controllers such as PID, SP, GA are also applicable for ensuring better performance. This study supposed to be considered as an interesting initiative for the beginners to have a fundamental perception over Control Theory and Engineering with very limited resources.

9. REFERENCE

1. Roberge, J. K., 1960 The Mechanical Seal. Bachelor's Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

2. Nasir, A. N. K., Ismail, R. M. T. R. and Ahmad, M. A., 2010, Performance Comparison between Sliding Mode Control (SMC) and PD-PID Controllers for a Nonlinear Inverted Pendulum System, World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia.

3. Kasruddin , A. N., Modeling and Controller Design for an Inverted Pendulum System, Master Thesis, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

4. http://www.engin.umich.edu/group/ctm/examples/pend/invpen.html

5. http://courses.cit.cornell.edu/ee476/FinalProjects/s2003/es89kh98/es89kh98/index.htm

6. Jain, T. and Nigam, M. J., Optimization of Pd-Pi Controller Using Swarm Intelligence, 2008, Journal Of Theoretical And Applied Information Technology.

7. Astrom, K. J. and Furuta, K., 2000, Swinging up a Pendulum by Energy Control, Automatica.

8. Eker, J., and Astrom, K. J., 1996, A Nonlinear Observer for the Inverted Pendulum, 8th IEEE Conference on Control Application.